EXHIBIT 2

Attorney.
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 2238
Claimant: JOHNSON JR, BURRELL
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
✓ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. ☑ No documents were provided.
<u> </u>
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
☐ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
·

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 2238	
Claimant: JOHNSO	N JR, BURRELL
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney.
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 2395
Claimant: VAUGHAN, ROBERT T
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. □ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\Box No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: Law Firm: Claim Number: 2395 Claimant: VAUGH/	AN, ROBERT T
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Document property.	 □ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 2712
Claimant: MODZELESKI, VINCENT E
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. ☐ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product. ☐ No documents were provided. ☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to provide sufficient information concerning the condition, amount and location of asbestos-containing ceiling materials removed, to document the cost of such removals, and to include documentation relating to the removal projects.
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. $\hfill\Box$ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 2712	
Claimant: MODZEL	ESKI, VINCENT E
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Automey.
Law Firm: Claim Number: 2816
Claimant: FLORES, HELEN
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. ☑ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 2816	
Claimant: FLORES,	HELEN
□ Category 2 Claim: 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Law Firm:
Claim Number: 3058
Claimant: LANEDALE CO OPERATIVE APARTMENTS LIMITED,
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\square No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 3058	
Claimant: LANEDAI	LE CO OPERATIVE APARTMENTS LIMITED,
☐ Category 2 Claim:	☐ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	□ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Audiney.	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 3337	
Claimant: GUBBI	N, JULIE ANN
Claim Form require	w, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified g checked questions were not answered or were not responded to ason(s) stated:
☐ <u>Category 1 Claim:</u>	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documen	ts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documen	ts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in

□ No documents were provided.

 $\hfill\Box$ Documents provided are insufficient because:

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

 $\begin{array}{c} \square \\ - \end{array}$ No documents were provided.

the property.

 $\ \square$ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 3337	
Claimant: GUBBI	N, JULIE ANN
	$\ ^\square$ Category 2 Comments: ats concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
of effort of asbe	I to provide any information concerning the scope, nature, duration or cost its to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the property; the type stos involved; the type of asbestos-containing products, if any, included in forts; or any details of the removal, containment and/or abatement s.
42. Documer	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
no air s	soil or dust testing results were included.

Attorney: Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 3400	
Claimant: LARSON, RICHARD H	
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identifie above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:	
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:	
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the	property
\Box No documents were provided.	
Documents provided are insufficient because:	
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product	
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asl	oestos in
the property. \Box No documents were provided.	
Documents provided are insufficient because:	
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was active building.	ctually in
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace p	oroduct.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.	
$^{\square}$ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.	
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.	
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:	

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 3400	
Claimant: LARSON,	RICHARD H
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
•	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 4383
Claimant: TERRACE PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
✓ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. ✓ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property. □ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 4383	
Claimant: TERRACI	E PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:
Law Firm: Claim Number: 5572
Claimant: NORM S RESTAURANTS,
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
\Box No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. No documents were provided.
☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\Box No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they do not identify the type, amount, location and condition of asbestos- containing materials removed or abated, or provide any other information concerning the abatement project other than cost.
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

RESTAURANTS,
 □ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 5574
Claimant: NORM S RESTAURANTS,
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
□ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they do not identify the type, amount, location and condition of asbestos- containing materials removed or abated, or provide any other information concerning the abatement project other than cost.
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
$\ \Box$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 5574	
Claimant: NORM S	RESTAURANTS,
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u>	□ Category 2 Comments:
38. Documents	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	$\ \square$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 5575
Claimant: ALNOR CO,
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property. No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they do not identify the type, amount, location and condition of asbestos- containing materials removed or abated, or provide any other information concerning the abatement project other than cost.
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 5575	
Claimant: ALNOR (CO,
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u>	☐ Category 2 Comments:
38. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	☐ No documents were provided.
	\square Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: MCPROUD, CLARENCE Law Firm: SPILLER MCPROUD

Claim Number: 5585

Claimant: MACK, HAROLD L

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documer	its relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fai the buil	to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ding.
18. Documen the prope	ts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in erty. □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22 Documen	ts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
ZZ. Doddiner	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documen	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	\square No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Attorney: MCPROUD, CLARENCE Law Firm: SPILLER MCPROUD

Claim Number: 5585

Claimant: MACK, HAROLD L

☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u>	☐ Category 2 Comments:
	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	\square No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
1	\square No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: LEE, PETER

Law Firm: THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY

Claim Number: 8251

Claimant: THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAI,

□ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 8251	ER LINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY LINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAI,
✓ Category 2 Claim: 38. Documents property.	 □ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☑ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. ✓ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: ASPERGER, ROBERT E

Law Firm: STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT OF JUSTICE

Claim Number: 10652

Claimant: STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT OF GENERAL SERV,

building air sample results were not included.

✓ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:	
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the pr	oduct in the property
\Box No documents were provided.	
Documents provided are insufficient because:	
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing pro the building.	duct was actually in
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the pres	sence of asbestos in
the property. \Box No documents were provided.	
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate	the Grace product.
No documents were provided.	
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:	
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the p	property.
$\ \square$ No documents were provided.	
Documents provided are insufficient because:	

Attorney: ASPERGE	ER, ROBERT E
Law Firm: STATE OF	F CALIFORNIA DEPT OF JUSTICE
Claim Number: 10652	
Claimant: STATE OF	F CALIFORNIA DEPT OF GENERAL SERV,
☐ Category 2 Claim: 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11265

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	□ Category 1 Comments:
16. Docume	nts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Docume the prop	nts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	☑ No documents were provided.
	\square Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Docume	nts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Docume	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Law Firm: RICHARD	H CHASEN
Claim Number: 11265	
Claimant: COUNTY	OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,
☐ Category 2 Claim: 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
•	\square No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11266

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documer	nts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	nts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documer	nts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Claim Number: 11266 Claimant: COUNTY	OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. ☐ No documents were provided.
	□ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11267

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
\Box No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Claim Number: 11268

7 - A Ri ...

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	□ Category 1 Comments:
16. Docume	nts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	nts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	erry. ☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Docume	nts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	$^{\square}$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H
Law Firm: RICHARD H CHASEN
Claim Number: 11268

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☐ Category 2 Claim:	☐ Category 2 Comments:
	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
	☐ No documents were provided.
	\square Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	\square No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11269

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Document	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
_	s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the proper	^{ty.} □ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	$^{\square}$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building	air sample results were not included.

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Law Firm: RICHARD	H CHASEN
Claim Number: 11269	
Claimant: COUNTY	OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	□ No documents were provided.

 $\hfill\Box$ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11271

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documer	its relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	✓ No documents were provided.
	$^{\square}$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documer	ts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	ts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Law Firm: RICHARD Claim Number: 11271 Claimant: COUNTY	OH CHASEN OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11272

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category	1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16	6. Documents	s relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
		$^\square$ No documents were provided.
		☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18		s concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
	the proper	^{y.} □ No documents were provided.
		☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22	2. Document	s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
		✓ No documents were provided.
		☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26	6. Document	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
		$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
		✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	building a	air sample results were not included.

Attorney: CHASEN Law Firm: RICHARD Claim Number: 11272 Claimant: COUNTY	
☐ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	© concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 11274

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

☑ Category 1	Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. [ocuments relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	✓ No documents were provided.
	$\ \square$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
	ocuments concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in ne property.
,	Property. ✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. [ocuments concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. [ocuments concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: CHASEN, RICHARD H

Law Firm: RICHARI Claim Number: 11274 Claimant: COUNTY	O H CHASEN OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	☐ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the ☐ No documents were provided. ☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: ONEILL, PATRICK

Law Firm: LAW DEPARTMENT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Claim Number: 11313

Claimant: CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,

☑ Category 1 Claim:	□ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documer	nts relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fai the buil	I to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in ding.
	nts concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	erty. ☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documer	nts concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documer	nts concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: ONEILL, I Law Firm: LAW DEF Claim Number: 11313 Claimant: CITY OF	PARTMENT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	 □ Category 2 Comments: s concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. □ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: STUTZ, JOANNE B
Law Firm: EVANS & MULLINIX PA

Claim Number: 11316

Claimant: UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY K,

✓ Category 1 Claim:	☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Docume	ents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
_	ail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in illding.
	ents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the prope	perty. ☑ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Docume	ents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Docume	ents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: STUTZ, JOANNE B

Law Firm: EVANS & Claim Number: 11316 Claimant: UNIFIED	MULLINIX PA GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY K,
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	 □ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	□ No documents were provided.□ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney:	
Law Firm:	
Claim Number: 12738	
Claimant: LUCE, JC	DAN
☑ Category 2 Claim:	□ Category 2 Comments:
38. Documents	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
property.	
	✓ No documents were provided.
	$\ \Box$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	s concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
testing re	sults are not attached.

Attorney:
Law Firm:
Claim Number: 12784
Claimant: VINIKOOR, ABRAM L
As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:
✓ Category 1 Claim: □ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
□ No documents were provided.
·
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.
No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
☐ No documents were provided.
✓ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: Law Firm: Claim Number: 12784 Claimant: VINIKOO	R, ABRAM L
□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u> 38. Documents property.	□ Category 2 Comments: concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	 □ Concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property. □ No documents were provided. □ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: SCOTT, DARREL W Law Firm: LUKINS & ANNIS PS

Claim Number: 13907

Claimant: WILKINSON, JAY S

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.
18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. \square No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
\square No documents were provided.
Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
\square No documents were provided.
☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: SCOTT, DARREL V	V
Law Firm: LUKINS & ANNIS P	S
m Number: 13907	

Claim Number: 13907

Claimant: WILKINSON, JAY S

□ <u>Category 2 Claim</u>	≟ □ Category 2 Comments:
38. Document property.	nents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
ргоро	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Docun	nents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Claim Number: 14398

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

•	
✓ Category 1 Claim:	Category 1 Comments:
16. Documents	relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property
	☐ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
18. Documents the property	concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property	[.] □ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:
22. Documents	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
	✓ No documents were provided.
	□ Documents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.
	$^{\square}$ No documents were provided.
	☑ Documents provided are insufficient because:
building air	sample results were not included.

Claim Number: 14398

Claimant: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA,

□ <u>Category 2 Claim:</u>	□ Category 2 Comments:
38. Documents property.	concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the asbestos on the
p.opo.ty.	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	$\ \square$ Documents provided are insufficient because:
42. Documents	concerning testing or sampling for asbestos on the property.
	$^\square$ No documents were provided.
	☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

EXHIBIT 3

Notice of Intent to Object for WR Grace

Total number of parties: 35

Mode of Service: US Mail (1st Class)

Exhibit 3 - WR Grace Mailing

Svc Lst	Name and Address of Served Party
14216	ALNOR CO, 17904 LAKEWOOD BLVD, BELLFLOWER, CA 90706
14220	BMC GROUP, MYRTLE JOHN, 1330 E FRANKLIN AVE, EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-4306
14220	BMC GROUP, TINAMARIE FEIL, 1330 E FRANKLIN AVE, EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-4306
14217	BURLINGTON NORTHERN & SANTA FE RAI, LEE, PETER, THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAILWAY, 2500 LOU MENK DR, FORT WORTH, TX 76131-2828
14216	CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, CITY HALL, CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102
14220	COUNSEL TO ASBESTOS PD COMMITTEE, FERRY & JOSEPH, P A, MICHAEL B JOSEPH, ESQ, 824 MARKET ST, STE 904, PO BOX 1351, WILMINGTON, DE 19899
14216	COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA, 2281 TALARE STREET THIRD FLOOR, FRESNO, CA 93721
14216	COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA, 2281 TULARE STREET THIRD FLOOR, FRESNO, CA 93721
14216	FLORES, HELEN, 74 N E VILLAGE RD, CONCORD, NH 03301
14216	GUBBIN, JULIE ANN, 1508 MADISON STREET NE, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55413
14216	JOHNSON JR, BURRELL, PO BOX 4500 MICHAEL UNIT, TENNESSEE COLONY, TX 75886
14216	LANEDALE CO OPERATIVE APARTMENTS LIMITED, 8 STROUD ROAD APT 8, HAMILTON, ON L8S1Z6CANADA
14216	LARSON, RICHARD H, 172 IVORY STREET, FREWSBURG, NY 14738
14216	LUCE, JOAN, 604 DAKOTA, LIBBY, MT 59923
14216	MACK, HAROLD L, PRESIDENT, NEVADO THEATRE, PO BOX 1066, NEVADA CITY, CA 93959
14216	MODZELESKI, VINCENT E, 1618 JAMES DR, CARLSBAD, CA 92008
14216	NORM S RESTAURANTS, 17904 LAKEWOOD BLVD, BELLFLOWER, CA 90706
14220	OFFICIAL CMTE OF PROP DAMAGE CLAIMANTS, BILZIN SUMBERG DUNN BAENA ET AL, SCOTT L BAENA, ESQ, FIRST UNION FINANCIAL CENTER, 200 S BISCAYNE BLVD, STE 2500, MIAMI, FL 33131
14220	OFFICIAL CMTE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS, DUANE, MORRIS & HECKSCHER LLP, WILLIAM S KATCHEN, ESQ, 1 RIVERFRONT PLAZA, 2ND FL, NEWARK, NJ 07102
14220	OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS, DUANE, MORRIS & HECKSCHER LLP, MICHAEL R LASTOWSKI, ESQ, 1100 NORTH MARKET ST, STE 1200, WILMINGTON, DE 19801-1246
14220	OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS, STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP, LEWIS KRUGER, ESQ, 180 MAIDEN LANE, NEW YORK, NY 10038-4982
14217	RE: CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, ONEILL, PATRICK, LAW DEPARTMENT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, ONE PARKWAY 1515 ARCH ST, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102-1512
14217	RE: COUNTY OF FRESNO CALIFORNIA, CHASEN, RICHARD H, RICHARD H CHASEN, 425 CALIFORNIA ST STE 2025, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-2213
14217	RE: MACK, HAROLD L, MCPROUD, CLARENCE, SPILLER MCPROUD, 505 COYOTE ST, NEVADA CITY, CA 95959-2230
14217	RE: STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT OF GENERAL SERV, ASPERGER, ROBERT E, STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT OF JUSTICE, 1300 I ST STE 1101, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
14217	RE: THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAI, O'HALLORAN, RICHARD A, BURNS WHITE & HICKTON LLC, 531 PLYMOUTH RD STE 500, PLYMOUTH MEETING, PA 19462
14217	RE: WILKINSON, JAY S, SCOTT, DARREL W, THE SCOTT LAW GROUP, 926 W SPRAGUE AVE STE 583, SPOKANE, WA 99201
14216	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPT OF GENERAL SERV, 707 THIRD STREET 6TH FLOOR, WEST SACRAMENTO, CA 95605
14216	TERRACE PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, C/O 2255 GLADES ROAD SUITE 223A, BOCA RATON, FL 33431
14216	THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE RAI, C/O EMR 1310 WAKARUSA DRIVE SUITE A, LAWRENCE, KS 66049
14216	UNIFIED GOVERNMENT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY K, 701 NORTH 7TH STREET SUITE 532, KANSAS CITY, KS 66101
14217	UNIFIED GOVT OF WYANDOTTE COUNTY K, STUTZ, JOANNE B, EVANS & MULLINIX PA, 7225 RENNER RD STE 200, SHAWNEE, KS 66217-3046

14216 VAUGHAN, ROBERT T, 46 SPEAR ST, MELROSE, MA 02176

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 8526-2 Filed 05/27/05 Page 60 of 60

Exhibit 3 - WR Grace Mailing

Svc Lst Name and Address of Served Party

14216 VINIKOOR, ABRAM L, 5236 38TH AVE NE, SEATTLE, WA 98105

14216 WILKINSON, JAY S, 425 N 19TH AVENUE, LEMOORE, CA 93245

Subtotal for this group: 35